

Artificial Intelligence and Lockean Epistemology

Chinda Clifford Linus

Abstract— This research explores the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and John Locke’s epistemology, examining how advancements in AI challenge traditional notions of knowledge and the subject of knowledge. The increasing sophistication of AI systems, which simulate human-like reasoning and learning processes, blurs the boundaries between human cognition and machine intelligence. This study investigates the potential connections between AI and Locke’s theory of knowledge, which emphasizes that knowledge arises from sensory experience and reflection. Beginning with a review of Locke’s epistemological principles, including the role of empirical data and the distinction between primary and secondary qualities, the research evaluates how AI’s reliance on vast datasets, machine learning algorithms, and neural networks aligns – or diverges – from Locke’s framework. It questions whether AI systems can possess knowledge in the Lockean sense and examines the epistemic status of AI-generated outputs in terms of reliability, trustworthiness, and biases in training data. The role of human oversight in validating AI-generated insights is also critically assessed. Ultimately, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the nature and limits of knowledge in the AI era, challenging traditional epistemological frameworks. By integrating Locke’s principles with contemporary AI developments, it advances the debate on what it means to "know" in a world increasingly mediated by artificial agents, offering a nuanced perspective on the implications of AI for human understanding and the evolving landscape of knowledge.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence and Epistemology; Lockean Theory of Knowledge; AI-Generated Knowledge; Human-Machine Cognition.

1, Adamawa State College of Education Hong, Nigeria, Email: chindalinus2000@gmail.com.

© 2025 the Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0).

INTRODUCTION

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) has ushered in a new era of technological advancement, prompting profound philosophical inquiries into the nature of knowledge, understanding, and consciousness. As AI systems become increasingly sophisticated, capable of performing tasks that were once thought to require human intelligence, the question arises: can these systems truly “know” or “understand” in the same way humans do? This inquiry is particularly relevant when viewed through the lens of John Locke’s epistemology, which emphasizes the role of sensory experience in the formation of knowledge amongst many other emphases. Locke’s theory compared to others, seems to provide a unique framework for evaluating the epistemic status of AI, especially in light of John Searle’s influential Chinese Room argument, which challenges the notion that computational processes can equate to genuine understanding.

The epistemic status of AI-generated knowledge invites scrutiny regarding its reliability and trustworthiness. Locke emphasized the importance of empirical evidence and the role of the senses in acquiring knowledge (Berebon, C. B., & Vareba, 2023). In contrast, AI systems often operate on data that may be biased or incomplete, leading to outputs that do not reflect true understanding (Schwartz, 2022). This discrepancy highlights a potential epistemic gap between human knowledge, grounded in sensory experience, and AI knowledge, which is derived from data manipulation.

In light of these considerations, this study aims to explore the relationship between artificial intelligence and Locke’s epistemology. It refers to a crucial revolutionary event popularly regarded as the Searle’s Chinese Room argument. It does this by examining the implications of AI’s reliance on syntactic processing and the nature of knowledge it produces and how this series of complex computational relationship can be equated or related in the light of how Locke described the way and manner knowledge is acquired. The research seeks to illuminate the evolving landscape of knowledge acquisition and dissemination in an age increasingly defined by artificial agents. The study will also address the broader philosophical implications of AI’s epistemic status, contributing to the ongoing discourse on the nature of understanding and consciousness in the context of technological advancement as epitomized by AI. As AI continues to evolve and integrate into various facets of human life, it is imperative to critically assess its epistemic capabilities and the implications for our traditional understanding of knowledge and consciousness. This research aims to contribute to this vital discourse, offering insights that may help navigate the complexities of knowledge in an increasingly intelligent artificially dominating world.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Artificial intelligence (AI) refers to the capability of machines and computer systems to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence (Berebon & Eluke, 2024). These tasks include learning, reasoning, problem-solving, perception, language understanding, and decision-making. AI systems can analyze data, recognize patterns,

and make predictions based on the information they process. The technology encompasses various subfields, including machine learning, natural language processing, and robotics, among others (<https://www.ibm.com>, 2024). In the context of this write up “artificial intelligence and Lockean epistemology,” AI raises significant questions about the nature of knowledge and how it is acquired. John Locke’s epistemology emphasizes that knowledge is derived from sensory experience and that the mind is a blank slate at birth, shaped by interactions with the world (<https://projectqsydney.com>, 2024). This empirical approach contrasts with how AI systems generate knowledge, which is primarily through data processing rather than sensory experience

CONCEPT OF KNOWLEDGE IN LOCKE

Locke attempted to integrate the popular Cartesian rational view, which had had a long and distinguished history going back to Aristotle and Plato, with the notion that experience provides knowledge. He wanted to elevate knowledge gained through experience to the status of intuitive or introspective knowledge and deductive knowledge (Gaukroger, 2009). Locke follows Descartes’ lead in searching for some minimal starting point on which to build a sound theory of knowledge. He eventually gives assent to three kinds of knowledge: intuitive, demonstrative, and sensitive. All are based upon “ideas”. Locke begins by defining knowledge as the perception of the agreement or disagreement between “ideas” (Locke, 1689). He presents four ways of apprehending this agreement or disagreement. The four ways are identity or diversity, relation, coexistence or necessary connection, and real existence. They are not ways of apprehending agreement or disagreement per se, but are ways of “knowing” regarding ideas. Locke is explicitly committed to the existence of ideas as objects of the mind and the means to knowledge. By implication, however, he is also “ontologically committed” to external objects which “cause” certain ideas” those which give rise to sensible knowledge.

The fundamental building block of Locke’s theory of knowledge is the “idea”, ideas are the objects of the mind with which we think and by which we know, some ideas are expressible by words. Other ideas seem like images, not adequately expressible with a thousand words. Since ideas are of the mind, words cannot communicate what an idea is simpliciter. The best we can do is to hope that our listener infers what we intend, or alternately, based upon enough interchanges, we could infer that our listener inferred what we intended to communicate. (Locke, 1689).

John Locke in his theory of knowledge state that knowledge comes primarily or basically from sensory experience. He emphasizes the role of empirical evidence in the formation of ideas over the notion of innate ideas or traditions. He refuses to believe any knowledge to be properly inferred or deduced unless it is derived from one’s sense-based on experience (Locke, 1689).

Locke’s view, like other empiricists, is commonly contrasted with rationalism, which states that knowledge may be derived from reason independently of the sense.

Thus, for John Locke and David Hume, the first modes of sensation of seeing, feeling, hearing, tasting and smelling are the reliable sources of knowledge. The data that come through these senses in form of impressions on the mind feed the mind with ideas, which are the objects of knowledge. To this end, John Locke proposed in the *Essay Concerning Human Understanding* (1689) that the only knowledge humans can have is *aposteriori*, i.e., based on experience. Locke is famously attributed with holding the proposition that the human mind is a *tabula rasa*, a “blank tablet”, in Locke’s words white paper (1689). On which the experiences derived from sense impressions as a person’s life proceeds are written. There are two sources of our ideas: Sensation and reflection. In both cases, a distinction is made between simple and complex ideas. The former is unanalyzable, and are broken down into primary and secondary qualities, primary qualities are essential for the object in question to be what it is. Without specific primary qualities, an object would not be what it is. Secondary qualities are the sensory information we can perceive from its primary qualities. For example, an apple can be perceived in various colours, sizes, and textures but it is still identified as an apple. Therefore, its primary qualities define its attributes. Complex ideas combine simple ones, and divide into substances, modes, and relations. According to Locke, our knowledge of things is a perception of ideas that are in accordance or discordance with each other, which is very different from the quest for certainty of Descartes (Casson, 2011). Therefore, John Locke avowed the five modes of sensation of seeing, feeling, hearing, and tasting and smelling as the true reliable source of knowledge, i.e., the data that come through these senses inform of impressions on the mind feed the mind with ideas which are the true objects of knowledge.

Locke considers epistemology to be “first philosophy,” because he considers it to be the discipline that examines the instrument that does the knowing and philosophizing, viz., the human mind (Makumba, 2005). When you take a biology course, your first lab will be to study and understand the microscope, because the microscope is such a crucial instrument in learning about biology. In a similar way, philosophy’s first task should be of epistemology, should be to find out if the mind is even capable of knowing anything, and if it is, what are the limits to what it can know (Edward, 1967).

In his epistemological studies, Locke relies much more heavily on direct sensory experience than on logic and reason. He believes direct experience to be more reliable source of knowledge than logic and reason. Physicians rely on evidence they get from their patients, they form hypotheses as to what might be the underlying problem with their patient, and they attempt treatments. If the treatments don’t work, they form another hypothesis and attempt another treatment, and so on. Absolute certitude may be something mathematicians can hope for, but the physician must rely on experience and testing. Locke believes that is also how we derive our knowledge about the world in any case, following are some of the key points to learn from Locke’s theory of knowledge.

CONSCIOUSNESS AND KNOWLEDGE

The exploration of human consciousness and knowledge in the context of artificial intelligence (AI) and Lockean epistemology raises profound questions about the nature of understanding, the mechanisms of knowledge acquisition, and the potential for machines to replicate or simulate human-like cognitive processes. This discussion will delve into the key aspects of human consciousness, the Lockean framework of knowledge, and the implications for AI. Human Consciousness is characterized by self-awareness, intentionality, and the capacity for subjective experience. It encompasses not only awareness of the external world but also an internal reflective capacity that allows individuals to think about their own thoughts and experiences. This reflective aspect is crucial for the formation of complex ideas and knowledge. According to John Locke, consciousness is integral to knowledge acquisition, as it enables individuals to reflect on their sensory experiences and form ideas based on those reflections (Locke, 1689).

Lockean epistemology is grounded in the belief that the mind is a blank slate at birth, and knowledge is built through experience (Wolfe, 2018). This framework has significant implications for understanding human cognition and the development of AI. In Locke's view, knowledge is a product of sensory experiences that are processed through reflection, leading to the formation of ideas. This process is inherently iterative, as individuals continually refine their understanding based on new experiences and insights. The Lockean model of knowledge acquisition can be applied to AI systems, particularly those that utilize machine learning algorithms (Niiniluoto, 2022). These systems learn from data in a manner that mirrors human cognitive processes, adapting their understanding based on new inputs. However, a critical distinction remains: while AI can process information and learn from it, the question of whether AI can achieve a form of consciousness or genuine understanding akin to human awareness is still debated.

The development of AI technologies challenges traditional notions of consciousness and knowledge. As AI systems become increasingly sophisticated, they raise questions about the nature of intelligence and the potential for machines to replicate human cognitive abilities. While AI can simulate certain aspects of human cognition, such as learning from experience and making decisions based on data, it lacks the subjective awareness and reflective capacity that characterize human consciousness. Philosophers like David Chalmers have articulated the "hard problem" of consciousness, which questions how and why physical processes in the brain give rise to subjective experiences (Chalmers, 1996). This problem is particularly relevant when considering AI, as current systems operate based on algorithms and data processing without any form of self-awareness or subjective experience. Thus, while AI can mimic certain cognitive functions, it does not possess consciousness in the same way humans do.

The relationship between human consciousness, knowledge, artificial intelligence, and Lockean epistemology presents a complex interplay of ideas. Locke's empirical framework provides valuable insights into how knowledge is constructed

through experience and reflection, which can be paralleled in the learning processes of AI systems. However, the fundamental differences between human consciousness and machine processing highlight the limitations of AI in achieving genuine understanding or awareness. As research in AI continues to evolve, it is essential to consider these philosophical implications and the nature of consciousness in both human and artificial contexts.

MIND AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN RELATION TO LOCKEAN EPISTEMOLOGY

The intersection of mind, artificial intelligence (AI), and Lockean epistemology presents a rich field for exploration, particularly regarding how knowledge is formed and understood in both human and machine contexts. John Locke's epistemology, which emphasizes the role of experience and reflection in the acquisition of knowledge, provides a foundational framework for analyzing the capabilities and limitations of AI systems.

The Nature of the Mind

Locke posited that the mind at birth is a "tabula rasa" or blank slate, and that knowledge is acquired through sensory experiences and reflection on those experiences (Locke, 1689). This view contrasts sharply with the notion of innate ideas, suggesting that all knowledge stems from interaction with the world. According to Locke, knowledge is built through two primary processes: sensation, which provides raw data from the environment, and reflection, which allows individuals to process and analyze these experiences. This dual process is crucial for forming complex ideas and understanding the world. In the context of AI, this raises questions about whether machines can truly replicate the human mind's capacity for reflection and understanding. While AI systems can process vast amounts of data and learn from it, they do so without the subjective experience that characterizes human cognition. This distinction is critical when considering the potential for AI to achieve a form of "mind" similar to that of humans (Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1991).

AI and Knowledge Acquisition

Lockean epistemology can be applied to the mechanisms by which AI systems acquire knowledge. Machine learning algorithms, for instance, learn from data in a manner that parallels human learning processes. AI systems operate on the principle of learning from experience, akin to Locke's assertion that knowledge arises from sensory input. These systems analyze data, identify patterns, and make predictions based on their training, reflecting a Lockean approach to knowledge acquisition (<https://link.springer.com>, 2024). Limitations of AI Understanding, while AI can simulate aspects of human learning, it lacks the reflective capacity that Locke deemed essential for true understanding. AI systems do not possess consciousness or self-

awareness; they operate based on algorithms and data processing without the ability to reflect on their own operations or the implications of their knowledge (<https://www.thecollector.com>, 2024).

Artificial Intelligence and the Lockean concept of Knowledge

Locke's central idea was that the human mind starts as a "tabula rasa" or blank slate, and knowledge is acquired through sensory experience and reflection, rather than being innate or a priori. This philosophical position has interesting parallels and implications when considering the nature of knowledge in artificial intelligence systems. Like Locke's view of the human mind, many AI models are trained on large datasets and learn patterns and associations from the information they are exposed to, rather than having pre-programmed or innate knowledge.

The training process of AI systems, where they inductively learn from data, is analogous to Locke's empiricist epistemology. The knowledge that emerges in trained AI models is not predetermined, but rather contingent on the data and learning algorithms used. This suggests that the knowledge of AI systems, like human knowledge, is shaped by experience and can be fallible or biased depending on the information available (Vallverdú, 2024). At the same time, there are important differences between Locke's view of the human mind and modern AI systems. Locke saw the mind as a passive receiver of sensory impressions, while current AI utilizes sophisticated neural networks and learning algorithms that are more akin to an active, information-processing system. Additionally, the scope and scale of knowledge that can be encoded in AI systems today far exceeds what an individual human could acquire through sensory experience alone. AI systems can draw upon vast digital datasets and computational power in ways that expand the limits of empirical knowledge.

These distinctions highlight how Artificial Intelligence, while sharing some philosophical commonalities with Locke's empiricism, represents a fundamentally new and different approach to the nature of knowledge and intelligence. As AI continues to evolve, the intersection of these philosophical ideas with the realities of machine learning will likely yield important new insights.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE HUMAN PERSON

The exploration of artificial intelligence (AI) as an alternative to the human person raises significant philosophical questions, particularly when viewed through the lens of John Locke's epistemology. Locke's framework emphasizes the role of experience and reflection in the acquisition of knowledge, which provides a basis for evaluating the capabilities and limitations of AI in comparison to human cognition.

Locke posited that knowledge is derived from sensory experiences and reflection. This empirical approach aligns with how AI systems, particularly those utilizing machine learning, acquire knowledge. AI learns from vast datasets, adapting its understanding based on new inputs, which mirrors the Lockean idea that knowledge is constructed through interaction with the environment

(<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/article>, 2024). Despite these similarities, AI fundamentally differs from human cognition. While AI can process information and learn from it, it lacks the reflective capacity that Locke deemed essential for true understanding. AI operates based on algorithms and data processing without the subjective experience or consciousness that characterize human thought (<https://philosophy.stackexchange.com>, 2024). This raises questions about whether AI can genuinely replace human cognition or merely simulate it.

EVALUATION

The exploration of the intersection between artificial intelligence (AI) and John Locke's epistemology represents a significant intellectual endeavor that seeks to address the evolving nature of knowledge in a technologically advanced society. This evaluation will assess the key themes presented in the research, highlighting its strengths, potential challenges, and implications for our understanding of knowledge.

The research addresses a timely and relevant issue as AI continues to permeate various aspects of life, from decision-making in healthcare to automated content generation. By focusing on Locke's epistemology, the study offers a philosophical framework that is often overlooked in discussions about AI. This connection is crucial, as it encourages a deeper examination of how AI systems challenge traditional notions of knowledge, prompting a reevaluation of what it means to "know."

The research effectively begins by outlining Locke's foundational principles, particularly the significance of sensory experience and reflection. This grounding is essential for understanding the epistemological lens through which AI can be analyzed. Locke's distinction between primary and secondary qualities serves as a useful framework for evaluating the outputs of AI systems. The reliance on empirical data in both human cognition and AI operations creates a parallel that merits exploration.

The investigation into how AI systems simulate human-like reasoning and learning processes is particularly compelling. The sophistication of modern AI, capable of processing vast amounts of data and recognizing complex patterns, raises important questions about the authenticity of the knowledge it produces. The research prompts critical inquiry into whether AI can genuinely possess knowledge in the Lockean sense, or if its outputs are merely sophisticated forms of information devoid of understanding.

The evaluation of the epistemic status of AI-generated knowledge is a significant strength of the research. By exploring issues of reliability, trustworthiness, and bias, the study addresses the practical implications of AI in knowledge production. The emphasis on potential biases in training data aligns with contemporary concerns regarding fairness and accountability in AI systems. This discussion is particularly pertinent in fields where AI decisions can have serious ethical implications, such as criminal justice or healthcare.

The research's aim to challenge conventional epistemological frameworks is commendable. By proposing a reevaluation of what it means to "know" in a world increasingly mediated by artificial agents, the study contributes to the broader

discourse on knowledge in the age of AI. This perspective not only highlights the limitations of traditional epistemology in accommodating new forms of knowledge but also encourages interdisciplinary dialogue between philosophy, computer science, and ethics (Berebon, 2022).

Despite its strengths, the research may face certain challenges. The intricacies and rapid evolution of AI technologies could pose difficulties in drawing definitive conclusions about their epistemic status. The diversity of AI applications means that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be applicable, necessitating a more nuanced analysis of different AI systems and their respective epistemic implications. The definition of knowledge itself is a subject of philosophical debate. The research could benefit from a more explicit examination of how to navigate the ambiguities that arise in applying Lockean definitions to AI-generated outputs. Addressing these complexities will strengthen the overall argument.

Finally, the research on the intersection of AI and Locke's epistemology presents a valuable contribution to understanding the evolving nature of knowledge in the contemporary world. By integrating philosophical inquiry with technological advancements, it offers a nuanced perspective on the implications of AI for human understanding. Future research could explore specific case studies of AI applications to further illuminate the practical implications of these philosophical discussions. Additionally, engaging with other epistemological theories could enrich the analysis and provide a broader context for understanding the relationship between AI and knowledge. Overall, this research not only challenges existing epistemological frameworks but also opens the door for ongoing dialogue about the future of knowledge in an age increasingly defined by artificial intelligence.

CONCLUSION

The intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and John Locke's epistemology represents a profound and timely inquiry into the nature of knowledge in an increasingly automated world. This research illuminates the complexities surrounding knowledge acquisition, validation, and the implications of AI technologies for our traditional epistemological frameworks. By focusing on Locke's foundational principles, the study effectively highlights both the potential and the challenges posed by AI in redefining what it means to "know." Consequently, the study raises essential doubts about the epistemic status of AI outputs, probing whether they constitute genuine knowledge or remain mere information devoid of meaning and context. As AI systems increasingly mimic human cognitive processes, the boundaries between human and machine knowledge become increasingly blurry. This convergence not only challenges our traditional notions of knowledge but also invites a broader discourse on the implications of AI for human understanding. The research underscores the need to critically assess how these technologies alter our epistemic landscape, emphasizing that the characteristics of human knowledge such as context, experience, and ethical considerations must be preserved even as we integrate AI into knowledge production.

Finally, this research offers a nuanced perspective on the implications of AI for our understanding of knowledge, positioning itself at the forefront of contemporary philosophical discourse. By integrating Locke's epistemological principles with the realities of AI, it challenges conventional frameworks and prompts a re-evaluation of what it means to know in a world increasingly shaped by artificial agents. As we navigate this evolving landscape, it is crucial to maintain a commitment to the foundational aspects of human knowledge experience, reflection, and ethical responsibility ensuring that the integration of AI into knowledge production enriches rather than diminishes our understanding of the world. Ultimately, this inquiry not only contributes to the philosophical discourse but also serves as a call to action for thoughtful engagement with the technologies that increasingly mediate our lives.

REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2018). The race between man and machine: Implications of technology for growth, factor shares, and employment. *The American Economic Review*, 108(6), 1488–1542. [https://doi.org/\[insert DOI if available\]](https://doi.org/[insert DOI if available])
- Anderson, J. R. (1990). *The adaptive character of thought*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Berebon, C. (2023). Ethical Perspectives of Digital Divide: An African Case Study. *Multilingual African Digital Semiotics and E-lit Journal (MADSEJ)*, 1(1), 67-87.
- Berebon, C. B. (2022). Philosophy and Science: A Stage for Technocrat. *OCHENDO: An African Journal of Innovative Studies*, 3(1).
- Berebon, C. B., & Vareba, D. D. (2023). The Philosophical Musings in the Coronavirus Pandemic Era. *Journal of African Studies and Sustainable Development*, 6(1).
- Berebon, C., & Eluke, P. (2024). Cosmopolitanism, Sovereignty and Global Justice in Light of Refugee Protection. *GNOSI: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis*, 7(2), 177-198.
- Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies*. Oxford University Press.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Casson, D. J. (2011). *Liberating Judgment: Fanatics, Sceptics, and John Locke's Politics of Probability*. Princeton University Press.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1996). *The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory*. Oxford University Press.
- Damasio, A. (2010). *Self comes to mind: Constructing the conscious brain*. Pantheon.
- Dreyfus, H. L., & Dreyfus, S. E. (1991). Making a mind versus modelling the brain: Artificial intelligence back at the branchpoint. In *Understanding the Artificial: On the future shape of artificial intelligence* (pp. 33-54). London: Springer London.
- Edward, P. (Ed.). (1967). *The encyclopedia of philosophy*. New York: Macmillan.
- Gaukroger, S. (2009). The role of natural philosophy in the development of Locke's empiricism. *British journal for the history of philosophy*, 17(1), 55-83.
- Locke, J. (1689). *An essay concerning human understanding*. London: Thomas Bassett.

- Locke, J. (1997). *An essay on human understanding* (R. Woolhouse, Ed.). London: Penguin Books.
- Makumba, M. M. (2005). *Introduction to philosophy*. Paulines Publications Africa.
- Niiniluoto, I. (2022). Concepts, Experts, and Deep Learning. In *From Electrons to Elephants and Elections: Exploring the Role of Content and Context* (pp. 577-586). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Nilsson, N. J. (2009). *The quest for artificial intelligence*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science*, 5(1), 14-37. [https://doi.org/\[insert DOI if available\]](https://doi.org/[insert DOI if available])
- Polanyi, M. (1966). *The tacit dimension*. Doubleday.
- Poole, D. L., & Mackworth, A. K. (2017). *Artificial intelligence: Foundations of computational agents*. Cambridge University Press.
- Popkin, R. H., & Stroll, A. (1993). *Philosophy made simple*. New York: Doubleday Dell Publishing Group.
- Rumelhart, D. E., & McClelland, J. L. (1986). *Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition (Vol. 1)*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2020). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Schwartz, R., Schwartz, R., Vassilev, A., Greene, K., Perine, L., Burt, A., & Hall, P. (2022). *Towards a standard for identifying and managing bias in artificial intelligence* (Vol. 3, p. 00). US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- Vallverdú, J. (2024). *Causality for Artificial Intelligence: From a Philosophical Perspective*. Springer Nature.
- Wolfe, C. T. (2018). From locke to materialism: empiricism, the brain and the stirrings of ontology. *What Does It Mean to Be an Empiricist? Empiricisms in Eighteenth Century Sciences*, 235-263.
- Google online internet sources. com, 2024
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/article>, 2024
<https://www.thecollector.com>, 2024
<https://philosophy.stackexchange.com>, 2024
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journal>, 2024
<https://link.springer.com>, 2024
<https://projectqsydney.com>, 2024
<https://www.ibm.com>, 2024